Ed 2121: Introduction to Education Peer Teaching Grading Rubric

	Below	Meets Re-	Excellent	Possible Points
	Requirement	quirement	2	Score x Weighting
Lassan Dlani, Annra	1	2	3 Lesson plan is appro-	
Lesson Plan: Appro- priateness	Lesson plan is inappro- priate to the model;	Lesson plan is appro- priate to model; in-	priate to the instruc-	
	elements such as ob-	structional activities	tional model; instruc-	
	jectives or procedures	match lesson objec-	tional activities match	
	are not correct in for-	tives; some weakness	lesson objectives; as-	x 2 =
	mat or are not appro-	in assessment plans or	sessment plans are	
	priate; activities do not	improvement needed	appropriate; students	
	foster learning (i.e. are	in engagement of au-	were engaged and	
	"fluff" or are mis-	dience and effective-	activities were creative	
	matched to the lesson	ness of learning activi-	and effective for learn-	
	objective)	ties	ing	
Lesson Plan: Com-	Lesson plan lacks in	Lesson plan contains	Lesson plan is very	
pleteness Instructional Model	detail; another instruc-	adequate detail; pro-	thorough; transitions,	
	tor would have difficul-	cedures are clear	directions, and other	
	ty implementing or	enough that another	elements are thought	
	modifying the plan;	instructor could im-	out and planned for;	x 1 =
	procedures are vague	plement the plan; all	procedures are de-	
	and lack in clarity	necessary materials	tailed and complete; all	
		accompany the plan	necessary materials accompany the plan	
	Peer feedback and	Peer feedback and	Peer feedback and	
Instructional Model	instructor observation	instructor observation	instructor observation	
	indicate a lack of un-	indicate a good imple-	indicate an excellent	x 2 =
	derstanding of the	mentation of the mod-	implementation of the	^ ^ ^ 2
	model and poor im-	el with few minor er-	model with no errors	
	plementation	rors		
Communication Skills	Peer teacher was weak in several of the follow- ing areas: • Eye contact • Fluid communica- tion	Peer teacher displayed weakness in 1-2 of the following areas: • Eye contact • Fluid communica- tion	Peer teacher was gen- erally strong in all areas: • Eye contact • Fluid communica- tion	
	 Rate and pitch of voice Effective use of visuals Enthusiasm Appropriate grammar and usage 	 Rate and pitch of voice Effective use of visuals Enthusiasm Appropriate grammar and usage 	 Rate and pitch of voice Effective use of visuals Enthusiasm Appropriate grammar and usage 	x 1 =
Reflection	Reflection lacked in detail, did not address some of the areas sug- gested	Reflection addressed areas suggested but not in adequate detail or reflection only ad-	Reflection addressed most or all of the sug- gested areas with thoughtfulness and	x 2 =

22-24 A; 19-21 B; 16-18 C; 13-15 D; 0-12 F